

Pico

Commander updates 09.09.2021
Ragnhild Aurlien and the Oslo group

Simulations generated by Unni Fuskeland

- All frequency maps are smoothed with a 1 degree beam and downgraded to $n_{\text{side}} = 256$ before white noise is added
- Foreground model PySM d1s1 (model 90.91 – same as Clems simulations)
- 10 different CMB and white noise realisations
 - With both $r = 0$ and $r = 0.003$
- Run Commander1 fitting 6 parameters
 - CMB (A_{cmb})
 - One dust model ($A_{\text{dust}}, T_{\text{dust}}, \beta_{\text{dust}}$)
 - Synchrotron model ($A_{\text{sync}}, \beta_{\text{synch}}$)

Simulation overview – differences

Clems first set of simulations

1. nside 512
2. Original beam sizes for PICO
3. Noise+signal bandwidth limited to $2 * N_{\text{side}}$

Clems second set of simulations

1. nside 512
2. Original beam sizes for PICO for the lowest 5 frequency bands. 20 arcmin beam for the highest 16 frequency bands.
3. Noise bandwidth limited to $4 * N_{\text{side}}$; signal bandwidth limited to $2 * N_{\text{side}}$

Unnis simulations

1. nside 256
2. 60 arcmin beam for all channels
3. Noise not bandwidth limited - drawn in pixel space

Next step

- Finish analysis for $r = 0$
- Make 90.92 simulations and run these as well
- Paper writing while commander1 runs