

NILC results for PICO

Mathieu Remazeilles

27 May 2021

To-do list

- ❑ Model 90.91 (**PICO baseline 21-800 GHz**):
 - $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)
 - $r = 0.003$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

- ❑ Model 90.92 (**PICO baseline 21-800 GHz**):
 - $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)
 - $r = 0.003$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

- ❑ Model 90.92 (**PICO w/o LF 43-800 GHz**):
 - $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

- ❑ Model 90.92 (**PICO w/o HF 21-462 GHz**):
 - $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

- ❑ Model 90.92 (**PICO descope 43-462 GHz**):
 - $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

To-do list

✓ done!

✓ done!

☐ Model 90.91 (**PICO baseline 21-800 GHz**):

- $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)
- $r = 0.003$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

✓ done!

✓ done!

☐ Model 90.92 (**PICO baseline 21-800 GHz**):

- $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)
- $r = 0.003$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

✓ done!

☐ Model 90.92 (**PICO w/o LF 43-800 GHz**):

- $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

✓ done!

☐ Model 90.92 (**PICO w/o HF 21-462 GHz**):

- $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

✓ done!

☐ Model 90.92 (**PICO descope 43-462 GHz**):


- $r = 0$ (10 realizations of CMB and noise)

$$r = 0$$

90.91 & 90.92

Baseline
21-800 GHz

90.91, $r = 0$
NILC




10 realizations

MASTER
 $f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$
Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

Baseline
21-800 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$
NILC



10 realizations


MASTER

$f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$

Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

w/o LF
43-800 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$
NILC



10 realizations


MASTER
 $f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$
Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

w/o HF

21-462 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$

NILC



10 realizations


MASTER

$f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$

Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

Descopie
43-462 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$
NILC




10 realizations

MASTER
 $f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$
Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

Baseline
21-800 GHz

90.91, $r = 0$
NILC



10 realizations


MASTER

$f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$

Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

Baseline
21-800 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$
NILC



10 realizations


MASTER

$f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$

Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

w/o LF
43-800 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$
NILC



10 realizations


MASTER
 $f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$
Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

w/o HF

21-462 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$

NILC



10 realizations


MASTER

$f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$

Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

Descopie
43-462 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$
NILC




10 realizations

MASTER
 $f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$
Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$


Baseline
21-800 GHz

90.91, $r = 0$
NILC

Logarithmic scale



Linear scale




Baseline
21-800 GHz


90.92, $r = 0$

NILC

Logarithmic scale




Linear scale




w/o LF
43-800 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$
NILC

Logarithmic scale




Linear scale




w/o HF
21-462 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$
NILC

Logarithmic scale




Linear scale




Descope
43-462 GHz

90.92, $r = 0$
NILC

Logarithmic scale



Linear scale




$$r = 0.003$$

90.91 & 90.92

Baseline
21-800 GHz

90.91, $r = 0.003$
NILC



10 realizations


MASTER

$f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$

Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

Baseline
21-800 GHz

90.92, $r = 0.003$
NILC




10 realizations

MASTER
 $f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$
Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

Baseline
21-800 GHz

90.91, $r = 0.003$
NILC



10 realizations


MASTER

$f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$

Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

Baseline
21-800 GHz

90.92, $r = 0.003$
NILC



10 realizations

MASTER

$f_{\text{sky}} = 50\%$


Binning: $\Delta\ell = 4$

Baseline
21-800 GHz


90.91, $r = 0.0003$

NILC

Logarithmic scale



Linear scale




Baseline
21-800 GHz


90.92, $r = 0.003$

NILC

Logarithmic scale



Linear scale



Note on the likelihood

The component separation exercise has been performed on sky maps with full lensing contamination.

Suppose that PICO can perform e.g. **60% delensing**, then the fraction of residual lensing power will be $A_L = 0.4$ after delensing.

Now for the r forecasts, we do the following shortcut to account for “delensing”:

- ❑ $C_\ell^{BB,NILC}$ is corrected for the residual noise bias and the residual lensing bias:

$$C_\ell^{\text{CMB}} + C_\ell^{\text{fgds}} = C_\ell^{BB,NILC} - C_\ell^{\text{noise}} - A_L C_\ell^{\text{lens}}$$

- ❑ Build a simple Gaussian likelihood to fit r only:

$$-2 \ln \mathcal{L}(r) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\ell_{\max}} \left(C_\ell^{\text{CMB}} + C_\ell^{\text{fgds}} - r C_\ell^{\text{prim}}(r=1) \right) M_{\ell\ell'}^{-1} \left(C_\ell^{\text{CMB}} + C_\ell^{\text{fgds}} - r C_\ell^{\text{prim}}(r=1) \right)$$


- ❑ The covariance matrix includes cosmic/sample variance of residual lensing signal, residual foregrounds and residual noise (and cross-terms):

$$M_{\ell\ell} = \frac{2}{(2\ell+1)f_{\text{sky}}} \left(C_\ell^{BB,NILC} - (1-A_L)C_\ell^{\text{lens}} \right)^2 = \frac{2}{(2\ell+1)f_{\text{sky}}} \left(C_\ell^{\text{CMB}} + A_L C_\ell^{\text{lens}} + C_\ell^{\text{fgds}} + C_\ell^{\text{noise}} \right)^2$$


 *Expected residual lensing cosmic variance*

Backup

INPUT CMB B-mode $r = 0$ (0001)




NILC CMB B-mode (0001)



90.91
 $r = 0$
NILC

NILC foreground residuals B-mode (0001)



NILC noise residuals B-mode (0001)

